The Szemerédi–Trotter Theorem

Incidences between Points and Lines

Ladia Khaing and Ada Kilian Mentor: Paige Bright

May 19, 2024

Setup: Points and Lines

Suppose we are given n points, P, and m lines, \mathcal{L} .



Here, n = 6, m = 5, and notice that there are 7 pairs of points and lines, (p, ℓ) , such that the point p lies on ℓ . Such pairs are known as *incidences*.

Question

Suppose we are given *n* points, *P*, and *m* lines, \mathcal{L} , in Euclidean space. How large can the following be:

$$\mathcal{I}(P,\mathcal{L}) := \#\{(p,\ell) \in P \times \mathcal{L} : p \in \ell\}?$$

Remark: We suppose without loss of generality that every line contains at least one point.

Incidences: Known Bounds

Question

Suppose we are given *n* points, *P*, and *m* lines, \mathcal{L} , in Euclidean space. How large can the following be:

$$\mathcal{I}(P,\mathcal{L}) := \#\{(p,\ell) \in P \times \mathcal{L} : p \in \ell\}?$$

There are a few key bounds we can know.

- Trivial bound: $\mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) \leq nm$.
- Cauchy–Schwarz: $\mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) \leq \sqrt{n}(nm + m^2)^{1/2}$
- Szemerédi–Trotter: $\mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) \leq C(n^{2/3}m^{2/3} + n + m)$

We will spend this presentation outlining one way to prove the Szemerédi–Trotter bound.



1. From Points and Lines to a Graph

2. Graph Theory

- 2.1 Euler's Planar Graph Theorem
- 2.2 The Crossing Number Lemma

3. Proving Szemerédi–Trotter

From Points and Lines to a Graph

We transform our points P and our lines \mathcal{L} into a graph G = (V, E).

- We let our points P become our vertices V (i.e. |V| = n), and
- An edge between p_i and p_j is formed if p_i and p_j are on a common line ℓ ∈ L and the points p_i and p_j are adjacent on ℓ.



Counting Edges

Lemma

Let G = (V, E) be the graph obtained from the previous slide on n points and m lines. Then, $|E| = \mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) - m$.

Proof: We follow by induction on *m*. If there is one line, ℓ_1 , then notice that the number of edges is always one less than the number of incidences.



In the above, we have |E| = 4, $\mathcal{I}(P, \ell_1) = 5$, and m = 1.

 $|E| = \mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) - m$ ctd.

We now assume the proposition holds for m lines, and show it holds for m + 1 lines.



From the first *m* lines we obtain $\mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L} \setminus \ell_{m+1}) - m$ many edges, and from the new (orange) line we obtain $\mathcal{I}(P, \ell_{m+1}) - 1$ many edges from the base case. Hence, $|E| = \mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) - (m+1)$.

At this point, we have turned our set of n points and m lines into a graph G = (V, E). In particular, we know

$$|V| = n$$
 and $|E| = \mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) - m$.

We will develop some graph theory to give us inequalities relating |V| and |E| to one another.

Graph Theory: Planar

Definition (Planar)

A graph G is planar if we can draw G such that edges only intersect at their endpoints (i.e. no edges cross each other).



Graph Theory: Connected

Definition (Connected)

A graph G is connected if, for each pair of vertices, there at least one path which joins them.



Definition (Face)

A face in a planar graph G is a region bounded by a set of edges and vertices in the embedding.



Theorem (Euler's Theorem)

Let G be a planar connected graph. Then,

$$V(G) - E(G) + F(G) = 2.$$

Proof Outline: We do induction on E(G).

- **Base Case**: E(G) = 1: There are only two possibilities.
- Inductive Step: Assume true for E(G) = k and prove true for E(G) = k + 1.
 - If possible: remove one edge and use inductive hypothesis.
 - Otherwise, G is a "tree" and we can prove this directly for trees.

More generally,

Theorem

Let G be a planar graph. Then,

$$V(G) - E(G) + F(G) = k(G) + 1$$

where k(G) is the number of connected components of G.

Lemma

If G is planar, then $|E| - 3|V| \le 0$.

Proof: From Euler's theorem:

$$V-E+F\geq 0.$$

Furthermore, $F \leq \frac{2}{3}E$. Combining these and rearranging the inequality gives the desired result.

As we cannot guarantee a planar graph, we must obtain a more general result relating edges and vertices, which can be done once we define *crossing numbers*.

Crossing Number cr(G)

Definition (Crossing Number)

Given a graph G, we define cr(G) to be the minimum number of edge crossings achievable when laying out G in the 2D plane.

For example: G is planar if and only if cr(G) = 0.



Graph Inequalities ctd.

Lemma

If G is a graph, then

$$|E|-3|V| \leq cr(G).$$

Proof: If cr(G) = 0 then G is planar and we already proved the result. Otherwise, we remove edges to make G planar.



Hence,

$$|E'|-3|V'| \leq 0 \implies (|E|-cr(G))-3|V| \leq 0.$$

As it turns out, the previous inequality is not as good as we need for the proof of Szemerédi–Trotter. But, one can use *probability* to improve the inequality, and obtain the following result.

Lemma (Crossing Number Lemma)

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with $|E| \ge 4|V|$. Then,

$$cr(G) \geq rac{|E|^3}{64|V|^2}$$

Proving Szemerédi–Trotter

Recall that we have taken our *n* points and *m* lines and turned it into a graph G = (V, E) such that

$$|V| = n$$
 and $|E| = \mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) - m$.

Furthermore, recall that we want to prove

Szemerédi–Trotter :
$$\mathcal{I}(P, \mathcal{L}) \leq C(n^{2/3}m^{2/3} + n + m)$$
.

We break into cases based on the Crossing Number Lemma.

Case 1: |E| < 4|V|. Then,

$$\mathcal{I}(P,\mathcal{L}) = |E| + m < 4n + m.$$

Proving Szemerédi-Trotter ctd.

Case 2: $|E| \ge 4|V|$. Then, by the Crossing Number Lemma,

$$\frac{|E|^3}{64|V|^2} \le cr(G).$$

By construction, $cr(G) \leq m^2$.

Rearranging and plugging in |E| and |V|, we see

$$\mathcal{I}(P,\mathcal{L}) \leq 4n^{2/3}m^{2/3} + m.$$

Adding these two cases together, we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}(P,\mathcal{L}) \leq 4(n^{2/3}m^{2/3}+n+m).$$

We used the following texts in our studies:

- (1) Miklós Bóna: A Walk Through Combinatorics
- (2) Larry Guth: The Polynomial Method: Lecture Notes
- (3) Alex losevich: A View From the Top

Thank you for listening!

Any Questions?